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In line with Article 136(7) of EU Directive 2013/36/EU, transposed in the Central Bank of Malta Directive 11 “Macro-prudential Policy”, the Central Bank of Malta is hereby notifying its decision on the applicable buffer rate.

Notification

- The applicable countercyclical capital buffer rate: 0%
- The relevant credit-to-GDP ratio: 84.4% and its deviation from the long-term trend: -3.7 percentage points
- The buffer guide: 0%

Analysis

The aim of the countercyclical capital buffer (CCyB) is to strengthen banks’ capital buffers during periods of excessive credit growth in a bid to enhance the resilience of the banking system and counter pro-cyclicality in the financial system. In this regard, the CCyB is built during the upswing of the financial cycle and is released in a downturn to absorb any losses that may arise, without interrupting the supply of credit to the real economy.

The main indicator supporting this proposal is the deviation of credit-to-GDP from its long-term trend. The analysis is further supplemented by a sub-set of additional quantitative indicators and expert judgement.¹ The Central Bank of Malta assesses variables related to private sector credit and other banking sector indicators with the aim to decide on the need to activate this buffer. This note provides the rationale for the proposed buffer rate to be maintained at 0%.²

Indicators used in the assessment of the countercyclical capital buffer rate

Based on the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) Guidance for the calculation of the CCyB, Chart 1 illustrates the results of the one-sided Hodrick-Prescott (HP) filter of the

---

¹ ESRB/2014/1 - Recommendation on the Guidance for Setting Countercyclical Buffer Rates.
² The analysis is based on the guidelines issued by the European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB) and Bank of International Settlements (BIS) as well as the experience of relevant international and European authorities.
credit-to-GDP ratio for Malta. The trend represents the smoothened credit-to-GDP plotted on the left-hand axis together with the actual series. The gap between the two is reflected in the light grey histogram which is plotted on the right-hand axis.

In the last quarter of 2020, the credit-to-GDP gap narrowed further to -3.7 percentage points from a trough of around -30 percentage points in the first quarter of 2016. The narrowing of the gap in recent quarters mainly reflected a drop in GDP as the COVID-19 pandemic generated uncertainty resulting in a significant economic downturn. However, projections by the Central Bank of Malta indicate that the economy will recover in 2021, with GDP expected to expand by 5.0% in 2021, 5.5% in 2022, and by 4.7% in 2023. This could possibly cause the gap to widen going forward. On balance, the decision that at the current juncture the CCyB rate of zero remains appropriate. Such decision is further corroborated by additional indicators to the headline indicator - the credit-to-GDP gap.

---

3 Credit represents total bank credit. CRD IV Article 136 (2a) states that “an indicator of growth of levels of credit within that jurisdiction” shall be used by the Authority. Although Drehmann (2013) showed that credit gaps based on total credit outperform those based on bank credit as early warning indicators for banking crises, this might not be so relevant for Malta given that the domestic economy is strongly reliant on bank credit and therefore the use of total bank credit is highly appropriate for this purpose. Furthermore, the time series for total credit contains breaks in the data over time, which could lead to unreliable estimations.

4 Source: https://www.centralbankmalta.org/site/Publications/2021_1.pdf
Credit Growth

Resident credit growth increased by 7.3% as at December 2020, driven by higher credit to NFCs, which grew by 8.8%. This largely reflected loans disbursed through the MDB Covid Guarantee Scheme, which supported bank lending to NFCs in various sectors hit by the pandemic. Anecdotal evidence indicates that firms are still being cautious about capital expenditure plans, with recovery of investor confidence only being foreseen in the longer term. Otherwise, growth in household lending remained relatively stable, with some marginal slowdown in mortgage lending. It rose by 6.6%, down by around 0.4 percentage point compared to the previous quarter while consumer credit contracted by 5.9% in 2020Q3, 0.3 percentage point lower when compared to September 2020 (see Chart 2).

During the third quarter of 2020, property prices rose by 2.4%, which represents the slowest rate in the past six years. Property price growth started to decelerate prior to the pandemic but the uncertainty surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic, added further pressure. The number of permits issued also slowed down, which was also reflected in a more muted mortgage growth. Nonetheless, a consistent positive trend in the property sales during recent months implies more positive expectations with regards to the property market in the near future. Although the median advertised property price-to-income ratio rose in 2020Q3, it remained generally in line with the long-term average (see Chart 3).
Meanwhile, the conservative haircuts and loan-to-value ratios applied by banks help to mitigate any potential vulnerabilities that could stem from the real estate market, safeguarding banks’ and households’ balance sheets. Although delinquency rates on resident mortgages increased marginally in the last quarter of 2020, these remained low, whereas compensation of employees improved to almost reach pre-pandemic levels.

Overall, on balance, financial stability risks stemming from the housing market remained contained also taking into consideration the fiscal and the latest policy measures including the implementation of moratoria and government guarantee schemes, which eased some of the pressures on corporates and households alike. However, looking ahead it is important from a financial stability perspective that banks continue to maintain adequate capital and liquidity buffers due to potentially higher NPLs as the moratoria granted on credit facilities expire.

**Household and Corporate Debt**
During the third quarter of 2020, private sector debt increased owing to higher corporate indebtedness and to a lower extent household debt. Expressed as a share of GDP, private sector debt rose by 12.8 percentage points compared to end-2019 to 197.1% (see Chart 4). The household debt-to-GDP increased by 4.1 percentage points to 53.1%, remaining well below the euro area average. Similarly, corporate debt-to-GDP also increased by a notable 8.7 percentage points to 144.1%, also remaining slightly below the euro area average. Given that intra-group debt is an important element of NFC debt, on consolidated basis NFC indebtedness stood at a more contained rate of 79.6% of GDP. Furthermore, at about 167%, NFCs have a strong financial position with financial assets significantly exceeding their debt. Also, the leverage ratio of NFCs remained quite stable in 2020 Q3 at about 33% to remain just below the euro area average of 34.7% in September 2020. Moreover, households’ net financial wealth remained strong mainly held in the form of deposits.
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**Current Account**
On the external front, the current account deteriorated further in third quarter of 2020, posting a deficit of 2.1% of GDP in September 2020, on the back of lower surplus in the services sector (see Chart 5).

The core domestic banks entered the COVID-19 outbreak with healthy capital levels, liquidity and profits. The Total Capital Ratio and Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio stood at around 22% and 19%, respectively in December 2020. Liquidity remained ample with core domestic banks’ Liquidity Coverage Ratio standing at around 330% in December 2020 and thus exceeding the regulatory requirement of 100%, indicating ample liquidity and stable funding as deposits continued to flow in, further building up banks’ liquidity buffers. This is buttressing further banks’ resilience to the crisis and in their role in providing credit to the economy.

All the relevant quantitative and qualitative information assessed were judged to convey strong indications that at the current juncture, the CCyB rate for Malta should continue to be set at zero. This is also in view of the current developments and the economic slowdown brought about by the still present COVID-19 pandemic. The standardised bank credit-to-GDP gap is currently negative at -3.7 percentage points, which is still below the reference threshold of 2 percentage points as indicated in the BCBS guidance.